SSC Step 1 Meeting Saturday, March 2, 2019 Location: NSRC 240

Student Attendees:

Adrian Chendra, Julia Marsaglia, Joseph Edwards, Gabriel Mishaan, Johnny Uelmen, Prahallad Badami, Justin Vozzo, Emmanuel Fadahunsi, Ece Gulkirpik, Zishen Ye

Advisor Attendees:

Shanondora Billot, Julie Cidell, Andrew Stumpf, Cathy Liebowitz

Meeting Minutes

Topics for Discussion:

- Voting scope change
- Step 1 Voting

1:16pm: Voting for grants start (vote for - vote against - abstain) Microgrants

- Addressing Food Insecurity within the Student Community \$700
  - seems like there is not enough information; request to defer with suggestions for next funding meeting
  - voting to defer: 9-1-0
- Illinois Water Day 2019
  - they have 2x the funding request but other source of funding are coming
  - voting to approve: 9-1-0
- Eco-Olympics Energy conservation competition marketing \$750
  - the costs are for fees associated with renting the monitor space in housing
  - voting to approve: 10-0-0

Full grants

- Illini Hyperloop \$10000
  - this will need to have an educational component used for future students
    - since it is limited in student body participation, we need additional information (and if they pass their application to the competition)
  - o motion to defer: 8-1-1
- Sustainable Restoration and Growth of the South Arboretum Woods \$9,996
  - funds to replace shed; good group with lots of support
  - motion to approve: 9-0-1
- Educate on sustainability \$2,872
  - o not student led
  - o concern of ours to print many paper documents for a sustainability purpose
  - o suggest to go to step 2 with suggestions for ways around this
  - motion to move to step 2 with suggestions: 9-0-1
- Electrical and Computer Engineering Building (ECEB) Interactive, Energy Education/Production/Use Display \$30000

- o not student led
- o is 2 kiosks and a screen "overkill"; would 1 kiosk accomplish the same task
  - some concerns: how many students will actually stop and use these kiosks
  - an online interaction UI would achieve the same goal
- motion to approve to step 2: 5-5-0
  - Adrian votes in favor for tiebreaker- moves to 6-5-0
- Certified Green RSO Program Support -\$12500
  - not student led
  - great idea, great project
  - o motion to move to step 2: 10-0-0
- WaggleNet \$15000
  - o this is an unregistered RSO, not strictly research purposes
  - o if they can provide their services to those on campus, that would be great
  - o move to step 2 8-0-2
- Vision Zero for UI \$28,800
  - applied last semester for funding
  - why does this cost so much? can we get a detailed list of costs and how it will be utilized in this project?
  - motion to step 2 with more information: 7-1-2
- South Farm Draper Purchase \$50000
  - o not student-led
  - they want to keep in touch with the community and educate those who use it
  - maybe we can help them buy it, but the people who get the most benefit should pay the most
  - can they commit to planting more cover crops for campus use?
  - motion to step 2 with suggestions to get more support from directly benefiting parties: 9-1-0
- Bee Research Facility Sustainability Auxiliary Building \$135000-190000
  - o a small addition to the existing bee auxiliary building
  - mainly supporting the research hives, but will also support beekeeping club and other groups
  - o rooftop solar included in this
  - this is two parts: the structure was ~\$75000-100000 and the rooftop solar was ~\$25000-75000
    - it we suggest ground solar then the roof could be cheaper
  - motion to step 2 (with suggestions on more impacts on campus and funding changes/options: 9-1-0
- Waste Water Elimination and Scale Up Restoration \$12000
  - o student-led
  - two major components \$7500 elimination of wastewater and \$4500 for expansion and production (bio-diesel)
  - if funded, need to make sure profits get recycled back into the new product for parts, maintenance, rental fees, etc.

- motion to move to step 2: 10-0-0
- Alternative Energy Innovation at the Hydrosystems Laboratory \$120,000
  - o they have \$175000 already approved; for 2 students to work with them
  - o motion to move to step 2: 8-0-2
- Water fountain with bottle refill stations in the Illini Union and Bookstore \$32,100
  - do these have the capability to be retrofit?
  - o can we fund this only in the union and not the bookstore?
  - SSC shouldn't have to fund \$30000 for this
  - move to step 2 with suggestion to downscale and focus on one location: 4-6-0
- Recycling Pods \$40,700
  - these are robust and custom made, why they cost so much
  - o the ones that are currently being installed are used often
  - o maybe we don't have to fund all 14 but focus at high-traffic areas
  - motion to move to step 2 with reducing the bins and focusing on high traffic areas: 10-0-0
- AgroForestry for Food Irrigation Initiative \$65000
  - o not student led
  - lots of increase in production for students to eat; profits go back to program to hire students, maintain the system
  - motion to move to step 2: 9-0-1
- Compost Aeration and Heat Recovery (CAHR) system \$132000
  - at the same location where the geothermal system is located
  - maybe coming up with hybrid system
  - o would have a 13 year buy-back
  - motion to move to step 2: 10-0-0
- Expansion and increased utilization of biomass heating at the Energy Farm \$40000
  - o not student led
  - used to buy oven to dry and use for heating
  - motion to move to step 2: 10-0-0
- Sub-surface drainage improvements at the Ag & Bio Engineering Farm \$73,112
  - o not student led and heavy research aspect
  - motion to move to step 2: 0-10-0
- Vav box and controls upgrade to DDC in the Agricultural Engineering Sciences Building \$100000
  - o not student led
  - could be incremental; but we can ask what is the minimum amount required to assemble a team
  - might not be a good cost "buffer" project (there are tons of those on campus)
  - motion to move to step 2: 3-1-6 (goes to step 2)
    - move to re-vote: 2-7-1
- KAM East Gallery Lighting Upgrades \$75000
  - o not student led
  - o can we get a metric on return or savings per dollar?
  - o seems like we get a lot of lighting projects that are similar to each other

- high visibility in a museum, that's good (receive 100000+ visitors a year, mostly students)
- motion to move to step 2: 10-0-0
- Illini Union I-room LED Conversion \$26000
  - this is a student-led project
  - o relatively cheap and high visibility
  - would this be something the union does anyway, without funding?
  - o motion to move to step 2: 9-1-0
- ABE Windows and Doors \$12,027
  - o not student led
  - o now asking for \$19000, but includes more windows and doors
  - what are the estimated energy savings?
  - o this group have explored their options and exhausted all other funding routes
  - o they currently have broken windows on the building
  - motion to move to step 2: 9-1-0
- Illini Union RSO Complex Led Conversion \$43,200
  - o student led
  - motion to move to step 2: 0-10-0
- Vet Med Exterior Lighting Upgrades \$24,500
  - o not student led
  - this could potentially qualify for the revolving loan fund (has buyback periods)
  - o motion to move to step 2: 0-8-2
    - but will suggest they consider revolving loan fund
- Art & Design Hallway lighting \$23,118
  - not student led, but student centralized
  - the natural lighting is good, but further down the hallway is bad
  - they department is matching dollar for dollar
  - motion to move to step 2: 9-1-0
- KCPA LED Cyclorama/Work lights \$125000
  - o not student led
  - o not scalable, unlikely to have support past step 1
  - o motion to move to step 2: 0-9-1

Moving 982,000 to step 2 (with upper end estimates of grants)