

SSC Biweekly Meeting
October 29, 2018 5-6pm
NSRC 358

Student Attendees: Justin Vozzo, Zishen Ye, Joe Edwards, Julia Marsaglia, Adrian Chendra, Prahallad Badami, Ece Gulkirpik, Sarah Gediman

Advisor Attendees: Kristine Chalifoux, Ximing Cai, Morgan White, Cathy Liebowitz

- 5pm: Voting meeting feedback
 - This committee prefers smaller projects, should SSC put a funding cap on requested project?
 - i. This cohort of members doesn't want to limit future cohorts.
 - ii. SSC should provide more transparency about the types of projects being funded so applicants can make proposal decisions accordingly.
 - iii. SSC could possibly include charts on the website under the 'criteria' page so applicants can visualize its funding situation.
 - Should applicants be limited to the number of proposals they can submit during one cycle?
 - i. There are often people involved behind the scenes.
 - SSC should prioritize student-led projects since only students pay the fee.
 - Add a sentence at the end of the application that informs applicants that funding isn't a guarantee no matter previous funding decisions or applicants' attendance at the working groups.
 - Adding a line to the proposal that asks about the applicants' affiliation to campus.
 - Add a timer to the screen during the voting meeting so people see the discussion time so they can stay on task.
 - Why are applicants applying to SSC instead of other funding sources?
 - Have a cover sheet for one step proposals that summarize the full application
 - Can people on proposals leave the room while voting?
- 5:25pm: The ECEB solar project would like to know if they should resubmit an application for the spring semester if it just includes an educational component for the project
 - Committee would like to see this proposal
 - The applicant should focus on why she is applying to SSC specifically
 - The committee wants to reiterate that SSC receives significantly more requests than they are able to fund. Thus, a sustainable and impactful project may not necessarily get funded.
- 5:35pm: SSC defines sustainability activity
 - i. Everyone takes a few minutes to write their personal definition of sustainability

- ii. Each committee member shares their personal definition. Some ideas that were shared include: a balance between social, economic, and environmental longevity; living within our resources; positive impacts on others presently and in the future; maximizing resources and feasibility; improving the lives of people we know and we don't know; growth without using outside resources; optimal efficiency
 - iii. Committee members read how different entities around the world define sustainability. Some of these entities include: UCLA Sustainability Committee; Bruntland Report; EPA; State of Oregon
- 6pm: End meeting.