Food Waste & Management

Team: Jessica Giannoni Simran Srivastava Lucas Slavicek



Outline

- Background Information
- Life Cycle Assessment
- Cost Benefit Analysis
- Drawing Conclusions/Summary

Background Information

- About ¹/₃ of food produced worldwide is wasted
- Many people going hungry on a daily basis
- More efficient waste management is necessary
- College universities generate 22 million pounds of food waste annually
- The University of Illinois taking action \rightarrow iCAP initiatives
 - Better understanding the food waste process

Life Cycle Assessment





Life cycle of a tomato plant in the SSF

- Assumptions:
 - 5 acres of production space
 - 5000 tomato plants per acre
 - 10-15 pounds of tomatoes from each plant
 - A tomato truck holds around
 50,000 pounds of tomatoes

Life Cycle Assessment

To transport to FSHN kitchen & to dining halls:





Cost-Benefit Analysis

- Convenience vs. Cost
- Consumer focused
- Potential Solutions
- Landfill vs. Alternatives
- Landfill
- EnviroPure
- Student Sustainable Farm
- Food Pantries

LeanPath



Convenience vs Cost

Student body

"Eye is bigger than stomach"





"May as well get my money's worth"



Solution #1 Offer recommended serving size

<u>Benefits:</u> Easy to visualize Eliminate food waste Student health by consuming less

<u>Costs:</u> Will not adhere/follow Hard to enforce



Convenience vs Cost

Solution #2 "Lunch Lady Style"

<u>Benefits:</u> Reducing waste Portion control

<u>Costs:</u> Increase in staff Peak rush=long lines Multiple trips



Solution #3 One Plate Only

Benefits: Fewer dishes Less water used Less dish soap Reducing waste Gets students thinking

<u>Costs:</u> Produces waste Deter Students





Landfill vs Alternative Disposals

<u>Benefits:</u>

- Time efficiency
- Quick/easy one time pickup
- Jobs







<u>.</u> - Limite

- Limited space
- Leaching contaminants
- Methane production
- Decrease efficiency of incinerators
- Transportation costs





Landfill vs Alternative Disposals

EnviroPure

<u>Costs:</u>

- Unit=\$20,000-\$50,000
- Treatment of greywater
- Electricity
- Water to flush

Benefits:

- Pays for itself
- Freshwater
- Self contained, continual feed, low maintenance, no odor, no sludge build up, no clean outs, etc.



	Landfill Disposal of Food Waste		Composting Method	
5. 1-C	Costs	Benefit	Costs	Benefits
	Collection fee: \$6,114.08 in food waste collection fees/year Incineration externalities	Jobs and revenue	Containers: \$975	CO2 footprint reduction: \$161 per metric ton/year
			Personnel: \$1,185 for three people	
and the second s		Little time to do	Tipping/Mixing area: \$10,500	
Notes			Temp Probe/guard: \$231.10	\$6,114.08 saved in waste hauling fees/year
		Little equipme nt needed	Gas for Bobcat: \$600/yr	Educational opportunities
			Labor: \$562.50/yr	Reduced need of fertilizers

Landfill vs Alternative Disposals

Student Sustainable Farm

Costs:

- Transportation
- Purchasing compost bins
- Personnel to maintain

Benefits:

- Locally grown
- Decrease need for fertilizers, water, pesticides
- Increases crop yield
- Revitalizes poor soil
- Decreases methane/ leachate from landfills



Food Pantries

Costs:

- Transportation

Benefits:

- Post and Pre consumer food
- Combating hunger
- Decreasing waste
- Reducing methane

Landfill vs Alternative Disposals

LeanPath

Costs:

- Equipment
- Benefits:
 - Pre-consumer food
 - Less pans/dishes
 - Cutting down food costs
 - Reducing greenhouse gas



Drawing Conclusions/Summary

- The LCA demonstrated that more goes into the food we consume than we think
- Our CBA identified tradeoffs associated with transitioning away from the traditional
- Consider the alternatives...
- University of Illinois' role
- Final Thought

Literature Cited

Aircycle Corporation. 2017. EnviroPure. http://www.aircycle.com/EnviroPure/. Date accessed: March 2017.

Beattie, Alicia (2014) "Cost-Benefit Analysis of Food-Waste Composting Program at UMM," Scholarly Horizons: University of Minnesota, Morris Undergraduate Journal: Vol. 1: Iss.1, Article 1.

Dickinson, A., Kim, J., Nabar, Y., Nickel, C., and Plotch, C. 2014. Reducing Food Waste at the University of Pennsylvania: Proposal for an Institutionalized Food Recovery Program. http://www.ling.upenn.edu/courses/ling005/ReducingFoodWaste.pdf . Date accessed: March 2017.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2017. Basic Information about Landfill Gas. https://www.epa.gov/lmop/basic-information-about-landfill-gas. Date accessed: April 2017.

Foodtank. 2017. Universities Leading the Charge on Food Waste. https://foodtank.com/news/2015/10/universities-leading-the-charge-on-food-waste/. Date accessed: May 2017.

Illinois Sustainable Technology Center (ISTC). 2015. Zero Waste Illinois. http://www.istc.illinois.edu/0Waste1pager.pdf. Date accessed: March 2017.

Institute for Sustainability, Energy, and Environment (ISEE). 2017. Reduce Food Waste: iCAP Portal. https://icap.sustainability.illinois.edu/project/reduce-foodwaste. Date accessed: February 2017.

LeanPath. 2014. Preventing Food Waste Across the University of Illinois. https://eatatstate.com/sites/default/files/pdf/UofL and LeanPath.pdf. Date accessed April 2017.

University Housing. 2017. Sustainability in Dining.

http://housing.illinois.edu/dining/about-dining/sustainability. Date accessed: March 2017.

Waste 360. 2016. EnviroPure System helps University of Illinois Reduced Food Waste. <u>http://www.waste360.com/food-waste/EnviroPure-systems-helps-university-illinois-reduce-food-waste</u>. Date accessed: April 2017.